

Provincial Head and Neck Cancer Treatment Guidelines

Approved at the Provincial Head and Neck Cancer Guideline Meeting May 8, 2015

Clinical practice guidelines have been developed after multi-disciplinary consensus based on best available literature. As the name suggests, these are to be used as a guide only. These guidelines do not replace physician judgment which is based on multiple factors including, but not limited to, the clinical and social scenario, comorbidities, performance status, age, available resources and funding considerations. The Saskatchewan Cancer Agency disclaims all liability for the use of guidelines except as expressly permitted by the Agency. No portion of these guidelines may be copied, displayed for redistribution to third parties for commercial purposes or any non-permitted use without the prior written permission from the Agency.

Recommendations for drug treatment presented in the Cancer Agency guidelines for a cancer site may not reflect provincial cancer drug funding. Please refer to the current Saskatchewan Cancer Agency drug formulary at www.saskcancer.ca for information on cancer drug listing and funding.

Benefits and risk of the proposed should be discussed with patient.

Participating in clinical trials is encouraged when available. Involvement of a multidisciplinary team is strongly recommended.

Content:

Diagnosis and Work-Up

Systemic / Chemotherapy Treatments

- Definitive/radical chemotherapy
- Post-operative chemo-radiotherapy
- Induction Chemotherapy
- Palliative Chemotherapy
- Follow Up & Surveillance

Nasopharyngeal Cancer

- Treatment
- Follow Up

DIAGNOSIS AND WORK-UP

- Complete head and neck examination
- Biopsy
- Computed tomography (CT) with contrast and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with contrast of primary site and neck, as indicatedChest CT scan, if not included with other imaging
- Positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT), as indicated
- Examination under anesthesia with endoscopy, as indicated
- Preanesthesia studies
- Dental/prosthodontic evaluation, including jaw imaging, as indicated
- Nutrition, speech and swallowing evaluation/therapy should be conducted by a registered dietician and a speech-language/swallowingservice.

Staging is as per AJCC/UICC TNM Staging. 7th edition.

SYSTEMIC/CHEMOTHERAPY TREATMENTS

Patients with <u>early stage I and II</u> disease are generally treated with either radiation or surgery with good outcomes.

A. Definitive/radical Chemo-radiotherapy

The majority of patients with head and neck cancer present with <u>locally advanced disease</u> and require combined modality therapy using chemotherapy, radiation and/or surgery.

This concurrent chemoradiotherapy refers to the administration of chemotherapy in combination with radiation without upfront surgical resection. This is <u>definitive/radical chemo-radiotherapy</u>. Salvage surgery in this case is used in case of persistent disease after chemoradiotherapy. The meta-analysis in head and neck cancer showed an 8% absolute benefit with concurrent chemoradiotherapycompared to radiation therapy alone.

Cisplatin bolus at 100 mg/m2 every three weeks x3 during radiation is used in patients with advanced disease. Cisplatin at this dose has many side effects including neuropathy, hearing loss, severe nausea / vomiting and renal dysfunction. Other regimen includes Carboplatin + infusional 5FU.

Weekly cetuximab in patients who cannot tolerate high dose cisplatin is used based on phase III study with cetuximab+ radiation.

Patients who are not fit enough to receive bolus cisplatin at q3 week dose may be evaluated for regimens like weekly cisplatin or weekly carboplatin and Paclitaxel. These regimens have not been studied in **recent** randomized phase III studies.

B. Post-operative Chemoradiotherapy

Used after surgical resection for patients with high risk recurrence. Post operative concurrent chemoradiotherapy has been tested in two phase III studies: RTOG-9501 and EORTC-22931. Both studies randomized patients with **high-risk** surgical-pathologic features after surgery to radiation therapy or radiation therapy plus cisplatin (100 mg/m2 q3wk x 3 cycles).

High risk features were defined as follows: presence of positive margin, extra capsular spread outside the lymph nodes, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion and multiple positive lymph nodes. In RTOG-9501, chemoradiotherapy significantly reduced the risk of locoregional recurrence compared with radiation therapy alone. No benefit on overall survival was noted. In EORTC-22931, both progression-free survival and overall survival were significantly longer with chemoradiotherapy. The metastatic rate was 25% with radiation only, and 20% with combined therapy. Postoperative chemoradiationtherapy was more effective than radiation therapy alone, but also more toxic.

A pooled analysis of data from both trials showed that two risk factors were associated with significant benefit from chemo-radiotherapy in both trials: extra-capsular extension and positive surgical margins. These two features are highly predictive of both local and distant failures. Current studies are exploring whether the addition of targeted therapy to concurrent chemoradiotherapy improves outcome.

Therefore if a patient has the following adverse risk features after upfront surgery:

- Extra-capsular spread or positive margin: ChemoRT is recommended
- Positive margin: if feasible, consider re-resection to achieve negative margins; if reresection is not possible; ChemoRT advised.
- For pT3 or T4, level IV or V nodal disease from N2 or N3, Perineural invasion and/or vascular embolism: RT alone. ChemoRT may be considered, the decision should be based on clinical judgment and in discussion with the multidisciplinary group.

If the patient does not have the above adverse risk features proceed with follow-up and surveillance.

C. Induction Chemotherapy

Platinum +FU induction chemotherapy has been the standard regimen used in induction protocols for sometimes. Two randomized phase III trials added docetaxel to PF induction chemotherapy. Both studies showed a significant benefit with the three drug combination (TPF) when compared to PF

(Tax 323 and Tax 324)

In Tax 323 study 358 patients with SCCHN with previously untreated inoperable, locally advanced stages Illand IV, and good performance status, received either docetaxel 75 mg/m2 followed by cisplatin75 mg/m2 on day 1, followed by 5-fluorouracil 750 mg/m2/day as a continuous intravenousinfusion on days 1-5 (TPF), or cisplatin 100 mg/m2 on day 1, followed by 5-fluorouracil 1000mg/m2 /day as a continuous intravenous infusion on days 1-5 (PF). These regimens were administered every three weeks for 4 cycles. Four to 7 weeks after chemotherapy, patients whose disease had not progressed received radiotherapy. Radiation was delivered either with aconventional or an accelerated/hyperfractionated regimen (i.e. more than one fraction per day).

Surgical resection was allowed following chemotherapy, before or after radiotherapy. The trial'sprimary endpoint was progression-free-survival (PFS) that was defined as time from randomization to disease progression or death from any cause, whichever occurred first. MedianPFS was significantly longer in the TPF arm (11.4 months) than in the PF arm (8.3 months). Median overall survival was significantly longer in the TPF arm (18.6 months) than in the PF arm(14.2 months)..

Tax 324 included patients who had both operable and inoperable disease. 501 patients with previously untreated locally advanced SCCHN, and good performancestatus, received either docetaxel 75 mg/m2 followed by cisplatin 100 mg/m2 on day 1, followed by 5-FU 1000 mg/m2/day as a continuous intravenous infusion on days 1-4(TPF) or cisplatin 100 mg/m2 on day 1, followed by 5-FU 1000 mg/m2 /day as acontinuous infusion on days 1-5 (PF). These regimens were administered every 3 weeks for 3 cycles. All patients in both treatment arms who did not have progressive disease following induction chemotherapy (close to 80%) received 7 weeks of chemo-radiotherapy (CRT). During radiotherapy, carboplatin, area under the curve (AUC) of 1.5 was administered weekly as a 1-hour infusion for a maximum of 7 doses.

Surgery could be considered at anytime following completion of CRT. The majority of patients had locally advanced stage IV disease (84%). Overall survival was significantly prolonged with TPF compared to PF regimen (log-rank test, p=0.0058). The median survival was 70.6 months in the TPF group compared to 30.1 months in the PF group.

Summary:

- May allow time to optimize patient medical status; customization of RT dosing based on response to treatment; provides early treatment of distant micrometastatic disease
- However severe side effects of Induction CT may adversely affect compliance to
- subsequent concurrent CT/RT or choice of CT/RT regimen; It adds 2-4 months to treatment
- Clear long term advantage is not established. Important studies results awaited.

For present, risk-based TPF Chemotherapy can be discussed **for excellent** performance status patients with:

- Very locally advanced disease (eg, bulky, N2c, N2b,N3, low neck, dermal infiltration)
- o Or Organ preservation strategy in patients with very locally advanced disease

D. Palliative Chemotherapy

1st line therapy:

- historically platinum-based doublet
- overall RR 30-40%
- median survival 6-9 months regardless of treatment
- randomized controlled trials fail to demonstrate clear improvement in OS with doublet chemo regimen compared to chemo with single agents

Active agents: Cisplatin, Carboplatin, 5-FU, Taxanes, Methotrexate, Cetuximab, Ifosfamide, Gemcitabine.

First line Cetuximab (anti EGFR antibody) with chemotherapy, phase III (**Extreme trial)** study, showed that the addition of cetuximab to platinum-5-fluorouracil is superior to platinum-5-fluorouracil alone.

Median survival was 7.4 months in the chemotherapy alone arm compared to 10.1 months for chemotherapy plus cetuximab arm (p=0.036). Approximately 60% of patients enrolled on this trial did not receive chemotherapy (chemo-naïve) as part of definitive therapy. The benefit appears to be more pronounced in those younger than age 65, in good performance status and in those received cisplatin-based treatment.

E. Follow-Up and Surveillance

- Head and neck examination (note that the ranges are based on risk of relapse, second primaries, treatment side affects, and toxicities):
 - Year 1, every 1 to 3 months
 - o Year 2, every 2 to 6 months
 - Year 3–5, every 4 to 8 months
 - o After 5 years, annually, as clinically indicated
- Annual thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) screening up to 5 years only for those patients that receive post-operative RT to the neck.
- Speech/swallowing assessment at 6 and 12 months post-RT; additional assessment and rehabilitation, as clinically indicated by a speech-language/swallowing therapist.
- Hearing evaluation and rehabilitation, as clinically indicated.
- Follow-up with a registered dietitian to evaluate nutritional status and until the patient achieves a nutritionally stable baseline•
- Routine hospital-based dental follow-up/rehabilitation and evaluation up to 3 years, specifically:
 - Half-way through treatment
 - At the end of treatment
 - o 6 weeks post treatment
 - 2–3 months post treatment
 - 6 months post treatment
 - 12 months post treatment
 - Yearly for the next 2 years•
- Physiotherapy is indicated for all patients undergoing major head and neck resection during the first 3–6 months post-operation.

NASOPHARYNGEAL CANCER (NPC) EPIDEMIOLOGY

- Different subtypes:
 - o **WHO type I (keratinizing, squamous cell carcinoma)** common in the non-endemic areas of the world and has a morelocoregional behavior.
 - WHO type II (non-keratinizing carcinoma, differentiated) & WHO type III (non-keratinizing, undifferentiated) predominant endemically in regions such as south China, north Africa and the far north hemisphere.
 - Not smoking related and has a higher propensity for distant metastases.
 - The typical lymphoepithelioid-type is associated with the non-keratinizing, subtype.

- Peak incidence is in the fourth and fifth decade of life, male:female ratio is 2.2:1.0. Geographic incidence varies greatly: in non-endemic regions incidence is <1/100,000.
- Associated with EBV infection. EBV DNA as well as anti-EBV antibodies are found in patients with NPC and have been used as tumor markers, although not routinely utilized.

NPC sometimes can be associated with HPV.

 While the TNM system is used for staging in the North America, an alternative – the Ho system – is commonly used in Asia. Differences between these systems need to be considered when the results of trials are compared.

Treatment:

- Because of anatomy and radiosensitivity, radiation is the major aspect of treatment.
- Concurrent chemotherapy (Cisplatin) during radiation improves survival. This is the current standard of care (RTOG 0099). The incremental benefit of adding adjuvant chemotherapy to concurrent chemoRT alone is debated.

A meta-analysis of available randomized trials shows a significant association between the timing of chemotherapy and overall survival (p=0.006), with the largest benefit associated with concurrent therapy

In 2012 a large phase III study comparing chemoRT to chemoRT + Adjuvant chemotherapy found no difference in survival between the two study arms. NCCN guidelines 2014 have been revised to reflect the results of this important phase III trial. Adjuvant Carboplatin based regimen has shown similar result in recent study but had short follow-up and wide confidence intervals.

Follow-Up:

- Physical exams:
 - o every 1-3 months (Year 1)
 - 2 4 months (Year 2)
 - 4 6 months (Years 3-5)
 - o and every 6 -12 months thereafter.
- Imaging of the primary site and neck within six months of the completions of treatment.
 Once treatment is completed and the patient is felt to be disease free, routine imaging of the primary site and neck are **not routinely indicated** in the absence of suspicious signs or symptoms.
- Thyroid stimulating hormone test every 6-12 months (if neck radiation was administered)
- Chest imaging "as clinically indicated"

For chemo regimen and doses, please refer to SCA formulary and preprinted protocols.

References:

- 1. NCCN 2014
- 2. Cancer Care Ontario
- 3. Alberta H&N Cancer guidelines. Jan 2014
- 4. ESMO H&N guidelines 2013

- 5. D'Souza G, Kreimer AR, Viscidi R, et al. Case-control study of human papillomavirus andoropharyngeal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356(19):1993-1995.
- 6. Pignon JP, Bourhis J, Domenge C, Designe L. Chemotherapy added to locoregional treatment forhead and neck squamous-cell carcinoma: three meta-analyses of updated individual data. MACHNCCollaborative Group. Meta-Analysis of Chemotherapy on Head and Neck Cancer. Lancet. 2000Mar 18;355(9208):949-55.
- 7. Bourhis J, Le Maitre A, Baujat B et al. Individual patients' data meta-analysis in head and neck cancer.CurrOpinOncol 2007; 19(2):188-194.
- 8. Pignon JP, le Maitre A, Maillard, et al. Meta-analysis of chemotherapy in head and neck cancer (MACH-NC): an update on 93 randomized trials and 17,346 patients.

 RadiotherOncol 2009; 92(1):4-Induction chemotherapy plus radiation compared with surgery plus radiation in patients with advanced laryngeal cancer. The Department of Veterans Affairs Laryngeal Cancer Study Group. NEngl J Med. 1991 Jun 13; 324(24):1685-90.
- 9. Lefebvre JL, Chevalier D, Luboinski B et al. Larynx preservation in pyriform sinus cancer: preliminary results of a European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer phase Illtrial. EORTC Head and Neck Cancer Cooperative Group. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1996 Jul 3;88(13):890-9.
- Forastiere AA, Goepfert H, Maor M et al. Concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy for organ preservation in advanced laryngeal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003 Nov 27; 349(22):2091-8.
- 11. Calais G, Alfonsi M, Bardet E et al. Randomized trial of radiation therapy versus concomitant chemotherapy and radiation therapy for advanced-stage oropharynx carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst.1999 Dec 15: 91(24):2081-6.
- 12. Adelstein DJ, Li Y, Adams GL et al. An intergroup phase III comparison of standard radiation therapy and 2 schedules of concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with unresectable squamous cell head and neck cancer. J ClinOncol. 2003 Jan 1; 21 (1): 92-8.
- 13. Fountzila G, Cuileanu E, Dafni U et al. Concomitant radiochemotherapy versus radiotherapy alone in patients with head and neck cancer. A Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group Phase III Study. Med Oncol2004; 21(2):95-107.
- 14. Ang K, Zhang Q, et al. A Phase III trial (RTOG 0129) of two radiation-cisplatin regimens for head and neck carcinomas (HNC): Impact of radiation and cisplatin intensity on outcome. J ClinOncol 28:15s, 2010.
- 15. Rasch CR, Hauptman M, Schornagel J, et al. Intra-arterial versus intravenous chemoradiation for advanced head and neck cancer. Results of a randomized Phase III trial. Cancer. 2010;116(9):2159-65.
- 16. Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354(6):567-78.
- 17. Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for locoregionallyadvancedhead and neck cancer: 5-year survival data from a phase 3 randomised trial, and relation betweencetuximab-induced rash and survival. Lancet Oncol. 2010; 11(1):21-28.
- 18. Rodriguez MO, Rivero TC, del Castillo Bahi R, et al. Nimotuzumab plus radiotherapy for unresectablesquamous- cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer BiolTher. 2010 Mar; 9(5):343-9.

- 19. Pfister DG, Su YB, Kraus DH, et al. Concurrent Cetuximab, Cisplatin, and Concomitant BoostRadiotherapy for Locoregionally Advanced, Squamous Cell Head and Neck Cancer: A Pilot Phase II Studyof a New Combined-Modality Paradigm. J ClinOncol 2006;24:1072-8.
- 20. Bernier J, Cooper JS, Pajak TF et al. Defining risk levels in locally advanced head and neck cancers: a comparative analysis of concurrent postoperative radiation plus chemotherapy trials of the EORTC (#22931) and RTOG (#9501). Head Neck. 2005 Oct 27(10):843-50.
- 21. Bernier J, Domenge C, Ozsahin M et al. Postoperative irradiation with or without concomitantchemotherapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004 May 6; 350(19):1945-52.
- 22. Cooper JS, Pajak TF, Forastiere AA et al. Postoperative concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy for high-risk squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med. 2004 May 6; 350(19):1937-44.
- 23. Colevas D. Chemotherapy Options for patients with metastatic or recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. J ClinOncol. 2006 June;24(17)2644-52.
- 24. Cohen EE, Lingen MW, Vokes EE. The expanding role of systemic therapy in head and neck cancer. JClinOncol 2004; 22:1743-52.
- 25. Leon X, Hitt R, Constenla M et al. A retrospective analysis of the outcome of patients with recurrentand/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck refractory to a platinum-based chemotherapy. ClinOncol. 2005 Sep; 17(6):418-24.
- 26. Vermorken JB, Trigo J, et al. Open-label, uncontrolled, multi-center study to evaluate the efficacyand toxicity of cetuximab as a single agent in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cellcarcinoma of the head and neck who failed to respond to platinum-based therapy. J Clin Oncol.2007; 26(15):1271-77.
- 27. Burtness B, Goldwasser MA, Flood W, et al. Phase III randomized trial of cisplatin plus placebo compared with cisplatin plus cetuximab in metastatic/recurrent head and neck cancer: an Eastern CooperativeOncology Group study. J ClinOncol. 2005 Dec 1; 23(34):8646-54.
- 28. Vermorken JB, Mesia R, Rivera F, et al. Platinum-based chemotherapy plus cetuximab in head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 359:1116-27.
- 29. Ang K, Zhang E, Rosenthal D, et al. A randomized phase III trial (RTOG 0522) of concurrent accelerated radiation plus cisplatin with or without cetuximab for stage III-IV head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNC). J ClinOncol 29: (suppl; abstr 5500) 2011.
- 30. Vermorken JB, Remenar E, Herpen C et al. Cisplatin, fluorouracil, docetaxel in unresectable headand neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;357:1695-1704.
- 31. Hitt R, Lopez-Pousa A, Martinez-Trufero J et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus fluorouracil topaclitaxel, cisplatin, and fluorouracil induction chemotherapy followed by chemoradiotherapy in locallyadvanced head and neck cancer. J ClinOncol. 2005 Dec 1; 23(34):8556-8.
- 32. Posner MR, Hershock DM, Blajman CR et al. Cisplatin and fluorouracil alone or with Docetaxel in head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2007; 357:1705-1715.
- 33. Haddad R, O'Neill A, Rabinowits G, Tishler R, Khuri F, Adkins D, Clark J, Sarlis N, Lorch J, Beitler J, Limaye S, Riley S, Posner M. Induction chemotherapy followed by concurrent chemoradiotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy alone in locally advanced head and neck cancer (PARADIGM): Lancet Oncol. 2013 Mar; 14(3):257-64.

- 34. Cohen, EE, Karrison T, Kocherginsky M, Huang CH, AgulnikM, Bhushan B, et al. DeCIDE: A phase III randomized trial of docetaxel (D), cisplatin (P), 5-fluorouracil (F) (TPF) induction chemotherapy (IC) inpatients with N2/N3 locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN). J ClinOncol30, 2012 (suppl; abstr 5500).
- 35. Al-Sarraf M, LeBlanc M, Giri PG et al. Chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy in patients withadvanced nasopharyngeal cancer: phase III randomized Intergroup study 0099. J ClinOncol. 1998Apr; 16(4):1310-7.
- 36. Chen L, Hu CS, Chen XZ e al Concurrent chemoradiotherapy plus adjuvant chemotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy alone in patients with locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a phase 3 multi centre randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012 Feb;13(2):163-71.
- 37. Chitapanarux I, Lorvidhaya V, Kamnerdsupaphon P, Sumitsawan Y, Tharavichitkul E, Sukthomya V, Ford J Chemoradiation comparing cisplatin versus carboplatin in locally advanced nasopharyngeal cancer: randomised, non-inferiority, open trial.
- 38. Eur J Cancer. 2007;43(9):1399
- 39. Dechaphunkulet al. Head & Neck Oncology 2011, 3:30
- 40. Bachaud JM, Cohen-Jonathan E, Alzieu C, David JM, Serrano E, Daly-Schveitzer N Combined postoperative radiotherapy and weekly cisplatin infusion for locally advanced head and neck carcinoma: final report of a randomized trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1996 Dec 1;36(5):999-1004.
- 41. PARADIGM: A Phase III Study Comparing Sequential Therapy (ST) to Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy (CRT) in Locally Advanced Head and Neck Cancer .Haddad et al Lancet Oncology 2013
- 42. DeCIDE: Docetaxel Based Chemoradiotherapy Plus or Minus Induction Chemotherapy to Decrease Events in Head and Neck Cancer.Cohen et al: JCO 2014
- 43. Italian Study: Concomitant CRT or cetuximab/RT vs induction docetaxel/cisplatin/5-FU (TPF) followed by CRT or cetuximab/RT in patients with LASCCHN. A randomized phase III factorial study. Efficacy results Ghi et al: ASCO 2014

Chairperson: Dr. Shazia Mahmood

Presenters: Dr. Shazia Mahmood, Dr. Evgeny Sadikov, Dr. Debra Korol, Dr. Ali El-Gayed, Dr. Derek Suderman, Dr. Rick Jaggi, Dr. Adnan Zaidi, Dr. Bryan Brunet, Dr. Wojciech Dolata, Dr. Kamal Haider

Attendees: Dr. Aisha Ahmed, Joe Andreas, Dr. Monica Behl, Dr. Janine Benoit, Dr. Bryan Brunet, Jennifer Cameron-Turley, Lorna Campbell, Dr. Peter Chang, Dr. Tineyi Chikukwa, Dena Colleaux, Dr. Wojciech Dolata, Dr. Ali El-Gayed, Lacey Fondrick, Christel Foord, Bertha Foote, Pauline Fox, Josh Giambattista, Dr. Kamal Haider, Dr. Rick Jaggi, Dr. Miroslav Jancewicz, Dr. Debra Korol, Lana Kruger, Dr. Shazia Mahmood, Courtney McKay, Dr. Mohamed Mohamed, Dr. William Moyer, Lori Muz, Dr. Mark Ogrady, Dr. Lenny Pillay, Dr. Florence Plaza Arnold, Dr. Evgeny Sadikov, Dr. Muhammad Salim, Judy Shaw, James Smetaniuk, Dr. Derek Suderman, Dr. Niranjan Venugopal, Brenda Wilde, Michelle Zahayko, Dr. Adnan Zaidi, Dr. Bill Ziegler